UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

IN RE:)	CASE NO: 22-50929-mmp CHAPTER 11
HIGHPOINT	LIFEHOPE SPE,	LLC,)	San Antonio, Texas
	Debtor.)))	Tuesday, April 4, 2023 9:03 a.m. to 9:06 a.m. 9:14 a.m. to 10:06 a.m.

MOTIONS HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL M. PARKER, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

CALENDARED MOTIONS: SEE PAGE 2

APPEARANCES: SEE PAGES 2, 3

Court Reporter [ECRO]: Recorded; Digital

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 8365

Corpus Christi, TX 78468

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

CALENDARED MOTIONS:

- 1: MOTION TO ASSUME AND ASSIGN UNEXPIRED GROUND LEASE DATED AS OF APRIL 13, 2018 [DKT.NO.130];
- 2: OMNIBUS MOTION TO ASSUME UNEXPIRED LEASES UNDER WHICH DEBTOR IS LANDLORD [DKT.NO.131];
- 3: MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY TO TERMINATE LEASE BETWEEN DEBTOR AND OFFICE ALLY, INC [DKT.NO.183];
- 4: EXTENDED ORDER AUTHORIZING DEBTOR'S FURTHER LIMITED USE OF CASH COLLATERAL AND REGARDING RELATED MATTERS [DKT.NO.160];
- 5: JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER:
 - (I) AUTHORIZING SALE OF ASSETS;
 - (II) AUTHORIZING SALE PROCEDURES;
 - (III) AUTHORIZING THE ASSUMPTION AND ASSIGNMENT OF CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS AND UNEXPIRED LEASES;
 - (IV) SCHEDULING HEARING ON SALE MOTION AND APPROVING NOTICE THEREOF; AND
 - (V) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF AND MOTION TO ASSUME EXECUTORY CONTRACT [DKT.NO.187];
- 6: MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION [DKT.NO.242];
- 7: MOTION TO SUBMIT BID EXHIBIT UNDER SEAL [DKT.NO.255]

APPEARANCES:

For Debtor: NATALIE F. WILSON, ESQ.

Langley & Banack Trinity Plaza II

Suite 700

745 East Mulberry San Antonio, TX 78212

Also present: SCOTT HONAN

For Woodbranch JEFF CARRUTH, ESQ.

Highpoint: Weycer Kaplan Pulaski & Zuber

24 Greenway Plaza

Suite 2050

Houston, TX 77046

Also present: MIKE MEAGHER

APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For U.S. Trustee: ERIN COUGHLIN, ESQ.

U.S. Trustee's Office

615 E. Houston St.

Suite 533

San Antonio, TX 78205

For San Antonio CAROLINE N. SMALL, ESQ.

Preventative LANDON M. HANKINS, ESQ.

& Diagnostic Medicine: Davis & Santos

719 S. Flores Street San Antonio, TX 78204

Also present: DR. ALBERT MOSQUEDA

For Office Ally: GREGORY M. TAUBE, ESQ.

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

201 17th Street NW

Suite 1700

Atlanta, GA 30363

For Capitol One: JOHN A. HARRIS, ESQ.

ROBERT P. HARRIS, ESQ.

Quarles & Brady

One Renaissance Square Two North Central Avenue

Phoenix, AZ 85004

JAY ONG, ESQ.

Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr

1717 West 6th Street

Suite 250

Austin, TX 78703

are -- who are at the -- the premises; and things -- you know,
regular maintenance things, like the trash and HVAC maintenance
safety system.

So it's essentially the same budget, just carried out through what we project under the amended sale procedures with the end of a sale process.

And so I don't think there are any serious objection -- there are any objections there.

Our final status conference matter is the sale procedures. And the Debtor and Capital One have proposed and provided a redline of the sale procedures. The original order permits the Court to amend the sale procedures on the motion of interested parties.

And so based on what happened with our previous sale order and -- we think that the -- there is still interest in the property. It is not at the \$30 million level, where the reserve was set.

And so what the Debtor in Capital One and MCA would like to do is to expose the property longer without that restriction of a minimum bid.

And there is a provision for -- we're calling it an "early-bird sale" in the next 30 days. If not, then the Debtor, in consultation with Capital One, could engage -- or MCA, on behalf of the Debtor, could engage a national broker to try to expose the property even more widely. And that process

decide at that point to credit bid and --

```
11
1
    the status matters next.
 2
              THE COURT: Mr. Carruth is already standing there
 3
    behind you.
              So, Mr. Carruth, would you rather Mr. Harris speak
 4
 5
    first, since he's on the same side of the issue?
 6
              MR. CARRUTH: Sure. Thank you.
 7
              THE COURT: Then you? Okay.
              MR. HARRIS:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              Let me address -- your speaker might not be working.
    I think mine's working too good. I hope I'm not blowing the
10
11
    Court's ears out.
12
              THE COURT: Oh, no.
13
              MR. HARRIS: Let me address, first, the different
14
    things that are in front of the Court; maybe at least bring a
15
    little bit of -- of order to what we're asking for
16
    ballistically. And then I'll talk a little bit about these
17
    procedures.
18
              But, as Ms. Wilson went through -- what the Court has
19
    in front of it today is a status call on the proceed --
20
    extending the sale procedures; a status call on the Stay-Lift
21
    Motion; and then a status call on the Debtor's two related
22
    Motions for Assumption and Assignment of Leases.
23
              Now the Assumption and Assignment of Leases obviously
24
    rides with the sale. Because it's a function of whether or not
25
    a buyer can be obtained through the sale process.
```

And then we have a Motion for Expedited Hearing by
the ground lessor. Not -- we're not hearing its Motion to
Dismiss, but a motion on whether there's such an emergency that
it's got to be heard in some sort of expedited fashion.

Going to the sale procedures -- and then I'll -- I'll come back to those different matters, Your Honor. The sale procedures have been modified. And the request is to modify and to really address two -- three big issues.

One, there's a big bank collapse in the middle of the sale process that had a real bad effect on the ability of buyers -- or potential buyers, in particular, to assemble bid packages under the time constraints of the original order.

So those market conditions really affected and had an effect on the activity; the market activity regarding the sale. The extended sale procedures will address that because they extend out the process.

The second thing, as Ms. Wilson said, is there was a \$30 million bid floor under those existing procedures. Which at the time we believed was realistic, again, based on at least what we were hearing in the market, but not realistic in the market as it sits now.

Bidders have indicated that they would be interested in bidding, but not at the \$30 million level. I don't know what that's going to be ultimately. Because, obviously, they're not tipping their hat or hand.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But the amended pro -- the revised procedures pull that floor off. So whatever people are willing to bid, they can express. It has the protections -- the statutory protections of a credit bid, but it will give a full opportunity for this market to bid on this asset at whatever people are willing bid at. Three, it completely protects the interests of the non-Debtor contract parties, which is a fair amount of what's going on right now. And it eliminates one of the things that they were complaining about in the previous procedures, which it eliminates the time compression. Under these amended procedures, Your Honor, if there is a bidder that is going to be presented to this Court for approval of the same, that bidder and all of its prequalification package and the materials that it has to provide to MCA in order to qualify are turned -- are delivered to the ground lessor, to the tenants, et cetera. And there will not be a hearing on any assignment of the ground lease or anything else any earlier than 30 days after that happens, Your Honor.

So they are given a full period of time to look at the data, to look at what is given in the package. And what is delivered to them is way in excess of what the ground lease provides. Because that ground lease, in itself, in its own contract terms, gives the Debtor the absolute right to assign

I can't tell you that there's going to be an acceptable buyer; nobody can. And that's not a requirement. And I've never seen a sale procedure where there had to be a guarantee, at the beginning, that somebody could get to the end.

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, having a lot of fights over this property is worthless. This property isn't going to generate anything. It's not helping the Debtor exercise its legal and its contractual right to try to find bidders without interference from other parties. Finally, Your Honor, if you put that in context of these other proceedings, then the procedures can be approved now. Because they're not affecting anyone's rights at all, other than the parties; the Debtor and Capital One. Because it's our collateral. Ground lessor doesn't own that collateral. Number two, Your Honor, the -- if the procedures are put in place today, then we can move forward and there can be a reasonable scheduling and whatever Motions to Dismiss or anything else that Woodbranch wants. If the Court is not inclined to approve the procedures today because Woodbranch has filed an objection, then there certainly could be a hearing in a week or two weeks -- two weeks. And, Your Honor, if that's the course the Court takes, then we'd be fine, as long as Woodbranch cooperates with discovery requests, to have their Motion to Dismiss heard in two weeks. And you can hear this whole smash and move forward. And, Your Honor, under that type of the schedule, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would give the Debtor and Capital One and other parties an ability to test these Motion to Dismiss arguments in a context where they're fully paid, the Debtor's cash flowing, and the property has been improved and maintained by a third-party property manager. But that's their motion; not mine, Your Honor. And if we go in that direction, then the two motions of the Debtor to assume or assign leases, which ride with the sale, can be dealt with at the sale procedure point. And the other motions will ride with it. So that's simply a suggestion from -- from the podium, on a way to move forward in a reasonable fashion. And, Your Honor, if we do that, then I suppose we can have a trial today on an emergency hearing request. But what we're going to be trying is whether their Motion to Dismiss is heard sometime before two weeks from now. I think that's all my comments, Your Honor, unless the Court obviously has questions. THE COURT: No. Thank you, Mr. Harris. MR. CARRUTH: Your Honor, the way these two documents came down is indicative of the entire problem. THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which two documents? MR. CARRUTH: I'm sorry. The way that the -- the sale procedures, the proposed order, and the amended sale

procedures, the two documents that were filed yesterday and

- that he's talking about today -- the way those came down in indicative of the entire problem of this case -- is that the entire case now exists for the virtue and benefit only of
- 4 Capital One.

it the night before.

- Because I didn't see these until yesterday. I didn't

 -- and I still -- I still don't even have -- as far as I know,

 I don't have a Word document version. I got PDF redlines, 6:00

 o'clock, last night, after I had already left the office, to

 come down here. So this has been brewing for two weeks. I get
 - And the problem is they didn't follow the orders to begin with. They may say, "Well, there wasn't a bidder." They didn't do anything that they were supposed to do under the first sale procedures.

That is why we had to interject ourselves. There was no consultation. There was no information provided. They didn't send the list out. They didn't do anything they were supposed to do to cooperate with us to -- and we went through that at the last -- on February the 23rd.

Because we don't take the time -- we don't -- we shouldn't have to chase people, whether it's a 30-day window or a 60-day window. If there is going to be an assumption hearing in this case at -- about adequate assurance of future performance and whether somebody qualifies under the ground lease -- which I will assert is still intact.

And, you know, the most recent opinion in this case is Judge Motts. And the basis of that assumption on assignment opinion, in 2019, was the bid -- the Debtor gets the -- the lessor gets the benefit of the bargain.

And this is a ground lease. This isn't just whether

somebody can -- can pay rent or not. This is whether somebody can -- can manage the property going forward and maintain the property. It's a ground lease. It's an entirely different animal.

And that's why the provisions of the ground lease are important. And that's why they need -- we don't need to be chasing people.

And this process wipes us out entirely. We don't get any information until they do a data dump. And then that's all we get. We've been completely written out of this.

But I don't know because I got it last night. And so we can't -- this can be entered today. I mean, it just -- it's just unreasonable.

THE COURT: I haven't set the motion that was filed yesterday for today.

MR. CARRUTH: Okay.

THE COURT: But we're here on a status conference.

MR. CARRUTH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I can do certain things in the status

25 conference.

1 a -- it can be a zero bid, it can be a high bid. We can always
2 credit bid." You can always credit bid the foreclosure.

You always credit bid when this thing is out of bankruptcy. And because when it's out of bankruptcy, the property, in general, is in less peril because we're not in default with our lender anymore.

And that's the main -- one of the main issues in this case is that the entire property is in peril. We don't know when that lender is going to act. Its property is in limbo.

The 60, 230-day -- whatever window it is -- because I don't know how long it is, because I haven't had a chance to read it. Whatever that window is, is a longer period of time before the property is stabilized, before there are people out there trying to lease the property, before there's money being invested back into the property. And it sits there in this horrible environment.

Yes. There are problems in the banking crisis.

There has been a banking crisis. That's not going to change.

Mr. Maher (phonetic) will testify when the time comes. But that's not going to change. And this property is not going to be -- nobody's going to be able to finance or put equity in this property. Very unlikely.

The other issue is the -- as far as like what -- he said there's people out here trying to talk -- trying to talk down the bid. We've done everything we can not to talk down

- 1 the bids. That's why we filed a motion to -- the Motion to 2 Seal.
- 3 | THE COURT: The Motion to Seal.

- MR. CARRUTH: And that's why we wanted the Court to

 look at that under seal to see what they asked for the first

 time, and what actually came in after four months of marketing.
 - And that's why this is an exercise in futility. And as long -- and like we talked about before, as long as you're trying to sell something in bankruptcy, you've got an extra bankruptcy problem added to it. And people don't necessarily like that.
 - And I think it's indicative that, after this -- you know, the -- so they had -- they had, I think, between 15 and 20 people on that initial list that we got on the 23rd. And we didn't get an updated list afterwards. All of a sudden, we had Party A show up with a bid. Party A wasn't on that initial list. So out of 25 people, nobody sent in information.

Then a period -- that entire period of time was before Silicon Valley Bank. Silicon Valley Bank didn't hit the media until March the 9th. That was seven days before our bid deadline. Nobody had even submitted information before March the 9th, that we know of.

So to say that the banking crisis is going to -effected the bidding in this case, and it's going to magically
kind of play itself out is wrong in both counts. It didn't

1 | affect the bidding to begin with.

And the banking crisis or the credit environment for this kind of property that needs work, that is half vacant, and with vacancies going down, that's not going to get fixed either. So that's why we think it's important to, just from a substantive economic point of view, dismiss the case.

But everything before the Court indicates this is just an exercise for Capital One at this point. Nobody's going to benefit by it.

And whatever -- and instead of all -- all these lawyers being in here today, all these people of Webex, going through extra layers of procedure, asking for cash collateral permission, do this, that, and the other, running up fees, running up costs, exposing this thing to -- to more bad publicity, chasing tenants off as the rats leave the ship, this needs to not be in bankruptcy anymore.

Because it's only for their benefit. And it's hurting the property, and it's keeping us in default with our lender.

THE COURT: How are you in default?

21 MR. CARRUTH: Because the underlying property is in

22 bankruptcy.

THE COURT: Oh. Because --

MR. CARRUTH: And that is an event to de --

THE COURT: -- it's in bankruptcy? It just --

```
23
 1
              I don't know that this is working. Are you really --
 2
    you're getting me?
              THE COURT REPORTER: It is.
 3
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 5
              THE COURT REPORTER: Uh-huh.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. It's just because it's in
 7
    bankruptcy provision that the default is triggered?
 8
              MR. CARRUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Well, it's been in bankruptcy now for,
10
    what, nine months?
11
              MR. CARRUTH:
                           The lender sent a letter to us advising
    us of their reservation of rights and that they are -- it has
12
    now escalated with the lender.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay. But you're getting your -- all
15
    your payments under the -- under the lease, right?
16
              MR. CARRUTH: Well, we are getting rent payments,
17
    yes.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. So I'm trying to understand what
19
    your risk is.
20
              MR. CARRUTH: The risk is, is that the lender
21
    proceeds -- our lender proceeds with its remedies whenever it
22
    feels it is not -- it feels that it is unsecure or in jeopardy.
23
              And the continuation of the bankruptcy case extends
24
    and increases that risk.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Even though it's getting paid?
```

- my client. So we don't really have a dog in the fight over the cash collateral, the sales procedures.
- Frankly, we're not interested in -- in getting
 involved in the bankruptcy any more than we have to. And,
 frankly, couldn't care whether it gets sold, not sold,
 assigned; what have you.

- Our concern -- I guess I'm one of the rats leaving the ship. The pre-petition -- we informed the Debtor that we would be moving out due to the deteriorating condition of the building. And our concern is simply that we want to follow through with that as soon as possible.
- And so our interest really is only in the motion for -- the original Motion for the Assumption of the Lease. We were objecting to that. We have objected to that for all the reasons listed in our pleadings.
- And then we were opposed to just moving out that hearing. But we ultimately agreed to the status conference today.
- Because, from my client's perspective, while I enjoy sitting here in your courtroom, Your Honor, and listening to the -- the good arguments of Counsel, my client doesn't really enjoy paying me to do that and would like at least our issue with respect to assumption of our lease teed up as soon as possible.
- 25 And it seems, by tying it to these other motions in

```
1 terms of the sales procedures and whether it eventually gets
2 assigned, it's just -- it's inefficient for my client.
```

And so we're trying to just ensure that we can tee up the assumption -- not necessarily as to every tenant, but as to our tenant, as soon as possible so we can follow through with what we started, pre-petition, and seek to terminate, under State law for the same reason we joined in the relief to dismiss the case.

Because then that obviously gives us rights to proceed under State law.

THE COURT: Yeah. But the --

MS. SMALL: So that's why we're here today.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. So the -- until the Debtor

has a buyer, there's nothing to be assumed, right?

In other words, right now the Debtor doesn't have a buyer. If I allow them more time to find a buyer, that's the first time that they'll have a reason to assume, right?

If the Debtor doesn't have a buyer, it would have to be either a credit bid from Capital One, in which case you'd be talking to Capital One about assuming.

MS. SMALL: Well, it seems to me that, unless they have a buyer, they don't have someone to assign it to. But they still have to decide whether they're going to assume it.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. That's correct. That's correct. I'm conflating the two, right? They don't have

this sale and find more bidders, frankly, we could care less. But the impact on us, in kind of kicking that can down the road, does hurt my client because we're in a building where it is, frankly, not safe to practice medicine. And they need to

23

24

25

```
28
 1
    get out.
              And so that's our concern we're trying to balance,
    Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
 4
 5
              Anyone else?
 6
              MR. SPEAKER: Your Honor, just one --
 7
              MS. SMALL: Just a second. Mr. Taube --
              THE COURT: Oh.
 8
 9
              MS. SMALL: -- is on the Webex.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MR. SPEAKER: Oh, sorry.
12
              MR. TAUBE: Good morning, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Good morning.
14
              MR. TAUBE: This is Greg Taube on behalf of Office
15
    Ally --
16
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, sir.
17
              MR. TAUBE: -- tenant in the building.
18
              I would echo Ms. Small's remarks regarding -- her
19
    argument regarding her client's position as a tenant.
20
              In addition, Your Honor, I would add that Ms. Small's
21
    client and my client are being held hostage by the automatic
22
    stay in this bankruptcy case.
23
              And I filed a Motion for Relief from Stay for my
24
    client and also joined in the Motion to Dismiss on the same
25
    grounds as -- for the Motion for Relief from Stay in that my
```

- client is prevented by the automatic stay from terminating the lease.
- And without any grounds for continuing the bankruptcy

 case, the stay should be terminated as to my client, which is

 all that I'm asking for, for my client.
 - But if it takes dismissing the case for my client to be able to terminate the lease, then I'm for dismissing the case for my client.
- 9 That's all, Your Honor.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Okay. Thank you.
- Anyone else on the phone?
- Okay. Follow up?

7

8

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- observations. As you've seen from the argument, from all of the Counsel, there -- there are a lot of issues here.
 - And I won't bore the Court with more -- with more argument at a status conference other than I do want it to be very clear that MCA, Capital One, and the Debtor complied with every single piece of the sale procedures. And any suggesting that they didn't is just wrong.

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, let me make these

But that's not today's fight. And as I said, at the beginning, Your Honor, we're -- it's a status call on the sale procedures. We're -- if the Court wants to have a hearing, and Mr. Carruth's client can raise whatever objections they think they have after he reads them, we can have that hearing in two

1 weeks.

Mr. Carruth's client wants a hearing on a Motion to
Dismiss the whole case. We can have that hearing in two weeks.

And the Debtor, Capital One, and other parties have some
ability to conduct some discovery over this alleged default and these other issues.

Because the reality is, Your Honor, nobody in the courtroom can dispute this Debtor has paid that ground lease current. All the taxes are current. It is performing its obligations. The Debtor has improved, dramatically, the property.

And so what you have are three non-Debtor parties to contracts trying to tell the Court what to do with an entire bankruptcy case, whose interests are being protected.

But, Your Honor, if they think they're not, then that can be the subject of that hearing when everybody has a fair opportunity to present a full case.

So if we move things to two weeks, you don't have to have a hearing today, on the fly, over whether there's an emergency need because they'll have their -- over the Motion to Dismiss, because they'll have their hearing in two weeks and we'll have an opportunity to take some examination of Woodbranch on some of the allegations that they've made.

The Court will have -- all parties will have time to look at those procedures. And if they think there's something

inappropriate with them that effects their legitimate rights,
they can bring it to the Court.

And the Court can then decide whether it's moving forward with the sale process and under what conditions or whether the Court decides that they've presented such a compelling case that something else needs to be done. But that's the orderly way to do it.

And at that same hearing, Your Honor -- neither one of these two tenants that just spoke to the Court have filed a Motion to Compel rejection. That's their remedy if they think they are being harmed.

The Debtor is maintaining the property. The Debtor is in compliance, it believes, with the leases, post-petition. The Debtor has a statutory right to cure defaults.

So if they think there's something -- and as the Court observed, the Debtor certainly has the right to try to effect a sale of its assets to a buyer that would lead to an assignment, if they can meet 365 in the contract terms.

So if those parties think that there is something so dramatic that's occurring that it compels immediate relief that they haven't even asked for yet, then hear that in two weeks.

And we can get through this back and forth, and either move forward with the sale process that everybody understands and that protects everyone's rights, which we believe this one does, or these parties will mount some sort of

- 1 case that we'll all be real interested to see, that this whole 2 case has to terminate today because of the interest of three 3 non-Debtor contract parties whose post-petition obligations are fully current. 4 5 That would be our suggestion, Your Honor. It's the efficient way to go. It gets rid of a lot of piecemeal 6 7 hearings over issues that -- that, frankly, aren't important; in particular, the extradited hearing. Because we can have 8 that hearing in two weeks. 10 **THE COURT:** Okay. Mr. Carruth? 11 MR. CARRUTH: Your Honor, I quess, to dovetail onto 12 that -- it was something we didn't get to first time I was up 13 here. That's putting the Party A bid in front of you and 14 getting ruling on the Motion to Seal. 15 And I have a copy of that bid in which I think will -16 - I think will help the Court with -- with some of -- maybe 17 some of the decision making or some of the perception of this 18 case today. 19 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you, first, about the 20 expedited, right? The Motion for Expedited Hearing on the
 - Motion to Dismiss.
 - And Mr. Harris now has offered twice the two-week prescription for when the hearing could be held. Can I get your kind of advice?

22

23

24

25 Where does your client stand on how quickly you want

```
33
1
    to try and have that?
 2
              MR. CARRUTH: May I do one quick --
 3
              THE COURT: Yeah.
              MR. CARRUTH: -- final consultation?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah.
                                  Sure.
              MR. CARRUTH:
 6
                            Thank you.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
         (Attorney Carruth consults with Client)
 8
 9
              MR. CARRUTH: Your Honor, I think the two-week would
10
    be acceptable. And I think, in the meantime, we will also tee
    up a Motion to Compel Rejection of the Ground Lease to be heard
11
12
    with all of that.
13
               And I can get that on file -- today's Tuesday -- by
14
    Thursday. But it'll be same -- same stuff, some same issues.
15
    I'm sure it all overlaps. So, you know, the two-week item
16
    would be acceptable.
17
              And just as a housekeeping matter, my April 5 matters
18
    in Cooper Lax (phonetic) were going to get pushed anyway next
19
    week. So that opens up time for all of us. Because we settled
20
    with the bank. And we're going to re -- re-notice.
21
              But, yes. I think that -- under the circumstances,
22
    that would be workable. And if the Court's not inclined to
23
    dismiss today, then that would be the -- the obvious
24
    alternative would be a hearing in a couple of weeks.
25
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                                  Yeah.
                                         My problem is, as you saw,
```

```
35
 1
              MS. SMALL:
                         Twenty-sixth works, Your Honor.
 2
              Would that also be the date that any Motions to
 3
    Compel Rejection would be heard?
              THE COURT: So you got to file a motion to expedite
 4
 5
    with it. But, yeah. I can probably -- if you get them on file
 6
    soon enough, we'll go ahead and we'll -- we'll set those
 7
    probably the same time. Okay?
 8
              MR. HARRIS: Can I just make one comment --
 9
              THE COURT: Yeah.
10
              MR. HARRIS: -- (indiscern.), Your Honor?
11
                          Yeah.
              THE COURT:
12
              MR. HARRIS: We haven't seen any Motion to Compel
13
    Rejection. And I think we would have a real objection to that
14
    until we see it, on that being set on an expedited basis.
15
              But it hasn't been filed yet. So --
16
              THE COURT: It hasn't been filed. I'll look for the
17
    Motion to Expedite; I'll look for your objections. And we'll
18
    sort it out from there.
19
              MR. HARRIS: We'll reserve our rights, Your Honor,
20
    when we see whatever --
21
              THE COURT: Okay.
22
              MR. HARRIS: -- the request is.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Taube?
24
              MR. TAUBE: Yes, Your Honor. That schedule makes
25
    sense from Office Ally's perspective.
```

informal discovery.

39 1 And the second is, we do have the cash collateral --2 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. MR. HARRIS: -- matter that we just need an order in 3 place so operations can continue. 4 5 THE COURT: Yeah. I didn't hear any objections from any of the parties on continuing cash collateral. I mean, it 6 7 doesn't matter if I continue cash collateral, and I dismiss the 8 case in two or three weeks, right? It becomes moot in terms of 9 where we'll go. Do you have a problem with --10 11 MR. HARRIS: I just didn't want anything at this point to prejudice our dismissal arguments. But --12 13 THE COURT: Yeah. No. I don't view it as 14 prejudicing your dismissal arguments at all. It's just a 15 matter of we want the Debtor to be able to continue to pay your 16 client and pay everybody else. 17 MR. HARRIS: Yes. So no objection to the -- the 18 order circulated -- if you want to do this. Let me -- it came 19 in yesterday. If I can have 30 minutes after the Court to 20 browse through the order. But we're not going to object to the continued use of cash collateral. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Carruth. 23 MR. HARRIS: And, Your Honor, this is just following 24 up on that. So the Debtor's authorization to use cash

That was said in the existing

collateral terminates today.

25

second extended order.

And so we have proposed an order in -- the same time, we weren't -- we're now up to the third extended order. We're using the same form. We proposed a budget. It's 120-day budget. It goes out. Obviously, as you said, if you dismiss the case in the meantime, well, it won't.

But it does allow us to go forward. And it provides that -- you know, the cash flow from the property is -- it is sufficient to pay the ground lease, going forward; to pay all the operating expenses, which was a big problem earlier in the case before, you know, the third-party property manager got involved.

There is a provision, Judge -- and it's the same provision that's been in all of these orders -- that allows MCA to continue like the marketing process their doing, which is maintaining a data room and fielding questions from interested parties and the like.

We won't be submitting bids during this period. But that -- you know, we aren't going to shut down the existing sale process. And people want access to a data room or -- or the like or want to tour the property; that type of thing, you know, this order would open -- would provide the data process would continue.

Which doesn't affect anything that's going to be heard by the Court in two weeks, other than, you know, we don't

```
43
1
    discovery, since you raised it.
 2
              I expect reciprocal rights, obviously, with respect
    to the discovery. And I expect cooperation. I don't expect
 3
    long deposition days or things like that, right?
 4
 5
              And so if there is any pushback or things like that,
    feel free to contact us, and we'll -- we'll either jump on the
 6
 7
    phone or jump on a Webex hearing or something to -- to try and
 8
    deal with that.
 9
              But, yeah. I expect both parties to cooperate in
10
    that process.
11
              MR. HARRIS: Understood, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: Okay?
13
              All right. What have I missed?
14
              Ms. Castleberry, what have I missed?
15
              THE CLERK: The only thing I can recall, Judge, is
16
    the multifarious pleadings.
17
              THE COURT: Oh, yeah. So, Mr. Carruth, generally the
18
    -- we don't allow, quote, multifarious pleadings.
19
              Your Motion to Dismiss is primarily a Motion to
20
    Dismiss. And then the alternative is the -- a motion as to MCA
21
    -- right? -- for the removal of -- or the vacation of the order
22
    as to MCA.
23
              Generally, those have to be done as separate motions
24
    because that's the way the Clerk's Office keeps track of it.
25
    Right?
            They have trouble keeping track of both things.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm not getting up.

But I have some other folks coming up.

```
45
    I'm going to wait -- we're going to call the next matter.
1
 2
    Thank you all for being here this morning.
 3
          (Proceeding adjourned)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Som / Julian

April 7, 2023

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER